

NGO Focus Group Meeting

Wednesday September 25th 2002 Washington Terrace, 1515 Rhode Island Ave NW, Washington DC, USA

Participants

David Hawkins Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)

Antonia Herzog " " " "

Jason Anderson Climate Action Network Europe (CAN)

Melissa Carey Environmental Defense

Sarah Wade Keystone Center Neil Strachan Pew Center

Emily Mathews World Resources Institute

Monica DeClercq World Wildlife Fund Prof. Rob Socolow Princeton University

David Beecy US Department of Energy

Dr. Sally Benson E. O. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Dr. Helen Kerr CO2 Capture Project Giuseppe Iorio CO2 Capture Project

Charles Christopher CO2 Capture Project

Gardiner Hill CO2 Capture Project Iain Wright CO2 Capture Project

Meeting Objectives

Communicate the objectives and progress of the CO2 Capture Project.

Gain feedback and comments of key NGOs on progress to date.

Provide opportunities for ongoing engagement and participation of key stakeholders to help shape and steer the program to its conclusion at the end of 2003.



<u>Agenda</u>

8.00am	Light Breakfast available in the Meeting Room	Lisse Montanez
8.15am	Welcome, Safety Moment, Introductions, Agenda	lain Wright
8.45am	CO2 Capture Project (CCP): Context & Overview	Gardiner Hill
9.00am	CO2 Capture & Storage: NGO Perspectives	NGOs
	Each NGO in turn: expectations for meeting	
10.00am	CO2 Capture and Transport:	
	The US Government View	Dave Beecy
	The Long-term View	Prof. Rob Socolow
10.30am	CO2 Capture and Transport:	
	 What are the Key Questions/Issues? 	lain Wright
	Review of Capture Technologies	Dr. Helen Kerr
	CCP Achievements to Date	Dr. Helen Kerr
	CCP Plan to End 2003	Dr. Helen Kerr
	- Discussion: Gap Analysis - What's Missing ?	All
11.30am	Summarize Feedback, Actions & Learnings	lain Wright
12.00pm	Lunch	Lisse Montanez
12.45pm	CO2 Geologic Storage:	
	The US Government View	Dave Beecy
	The Long-term View	Prof. Rob Socolow
	CO2 Geologic Storage:	
1.15pm	HSE Risks and Lessons Learned	Dr. Sally Benson
	- Discussion & Gap Analysis	All
2.15pm	CCP Storage R&D Program: Progress to Date	Charles Christopher
3.00pm	- Discussion	All Banaan/Christopher
	Review of Pilot CO2 Storage Projects	Benson/Christopher
3.45pm	- Discussion	Charles Christopher
	CCP Plan to End 2003	All
	- Discussion: What's Missing ?	
4.30pm	Summarize Feedback, Actions & Way Forward	lain Wright
5.30pm	Adjourn	



NGO Feedback Summary

Capture & Storage: Policies

- 1. CO2 C&S should not distract resources from renewables and energy efficiency
- 2. Some EU NGOs oppose the long-term fossil fuels in general
- 3. Looking for complete transparency in public and industry funding
- 4. Pre-combustion capture could only be a bridge to hydrogen, because the ultimate solution will be renewables
- 5. Should not shift public money from renewables & EE to CO2 C&S (make the pie bigger)
- 6. Developing world is a source of CO2 emissions: technology transfer from the developed to the developing world (partnering)
- 7. Need to take near-term action to reduce GHG emissions
- 8. Questions on how real and verifiable are the GHG reductions
- 9. Need to consider all options for GHG reductions
- 10. Policies: incentives are required to make industry achieve GHG reductions influence of subsidies (ie coal)
- 11. No silver bullet for GHG reduction: C&S could be part of a portfolio.
- 12. Need to develop regulatory and permitting regime don't want to repeat the nuclear experience
- 13. Costs & benefits of implementing CO2 C&S at various scales & locations (marginal cost curve)
- 14. Needs new policies & incentives: costs are for industry, benefits are for the planet how can that happen?
- 15. All the technology in the world won't make this happen policies are required
- 16. Could compare CO2 C&S with renewables & EE etc (apples to apples). IEA will provide facility to benchmark.
- 17. US & Kyoto: Industry needs to help NGOs lobby for US participation (coal & green lobby)
- 18. CCP industry participants need to get involved in policy issues supporting CO2 C&S

Capture and Storage: Technology Development

- 1. Demo projects should not bring an end to technology development
- 2. Demo projects: how to expand and scale-up in different locations
- 3. Don't demonstrate technologies that you don't intend to use
- 4. First demo project needs to be a good one
- 5. What scale of deployment may be available? Coal & developing countries?
- 6. Synergies with other industries and applications?
- 7. Technology transfer? Will there be license fees?
- 8. Economics peer review: how will you communicate the results of the economics?
- 9. CCP has a very short time-frame also need longer time-frame tech. development projects
- 10. Cost estimates should be easy to benchmark
- 11. Economics: capex vs opex, construction time and life-cycle economics (need to include coal subsidies



Capture (and Transport)

- 1. New technologies: supply & demand competitive advantages?
- 2. Oxyfuel technology: describe technology development taking place outside of CCP and how CCP fits within the overall picture
- 3. Are you including options for waste heat recovery?

Geologic Storage

- 1. EU NGOS are now united in condemnation of ocean storage
- 2. Concern about the CDM rules for bio-sequestration
- 3. Need to see honesty & openness regarding potential for storage leakage
- 4. Need good communications on HSE risks (bad example is GM foods). ie risk vs perception of risk (cars vs aircraft).
- 5. Could evaluate costs/benefits of injecting other emissions (NOx, SOx, H2S etc)
- 6. Need an assessment of what is an acceptable leakage rate
- 7. Where does CCP fit into the big GHG picture (wrt leakage rates and stabilization)
- 8. Need to be able to monitor and manage long-term leakage
- 9. Peer Review for final CCP report before issue