

**Carbon Dioxide Capture for Storage
in Deep Geologic Formations –
Results from the CO₂
Capture Project**

**Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide
with Monitoring and Verification**

Volume 2

Elsevier Internet Homepage – <http://www.elsevier.com>

Consult the Elsevier homepage for full catalogue information on all books, major reference works, journals, electronic products and services.

Elsevier Titles of Related Interest

AN END TO GLOBAL WARMING

L.O. Williams

ISBN: 0-08-044045-2, 2002

FUNDAMENTALS AND TECHNOLOGY OF COMBUSTION

F. El-Mahallawy, S. El-Din Habik

ISBN: 0-08-044106-8, 2002

GREENHOUSE GAS CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES: 6TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

John Gale, Yoichi Kaya

ISBN: 0-08-044276-5, 2003

MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE: FLEXIBILITY MECHANISMS

T. Jackson

ISBN: 0-08-044092-4, 2001

Related Journals:

Elsevier publishes a wide-ranging portfolio of high quality research journals, encompassing the energy policy, environmental, and renewable energy fields. A sample journal issue is available online by visiting the Elsevier web site (details at the top of this page). Leading titles include:

Energy Policy

Renewable Energy

Energy Conversion and Management

Biomass & Bioenergy

Environmental Science & Policy

Global and Planetary Change

Atmospheric Environment

Chemosphere – Global Change Science

Fuel, Combustion & Flame

Fuel Processing Technology

All journals are available online via ScienceDirect: www.sciencedirect.com

To Contact the Publisher

Elsevier welcomes enquiries concerning publishing proposals: books, journal special issues, conference proceedings, etc. All formats and media can be considered. Should you have a publishing proposal you wish to discuss, please contact, without obligation, the publisher responsible for Elsevier's Energy program:

Henri van Dorssen

Publisher

Elsevier Ltd

The Boulevard, Langford Lane

Kidlington, Oxford

OX5 1GB, UK

Phone: +44 1865 84 3682

Fax: +44 1865 84 3931

E.mail: h.dorssen@elsevier.com

General enquiries, including placing orders, should be directed to Elsevier's Regional Sales Offices – please access the Elsevier homepage for full contact details (homepage details at the top of this page).

Carbon Dioxide Capture for Storage in Deep Geologic Formations – Results from the CO₂ Capture Project

**Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide
with Monitoring and Verification**

Edited by

Sally M. Benson

*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Berkeley, CA, USA*

and Associate Editors

Curt Oldenburg¹, Mike Hoversten¹ and Scott Imbus²

*¹Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA, USA*

*²Chevron Texaco Energy Technology Company
Bellaire, TX, USA*

Volume 2



ELSEVIER

2005

Amsterdam – Boston – Heidelberg – London – New York – Oxford
Paris – San Diego – San Francisco – Singapore – Sydney – Tokyo

ELSEVIER B.V.
Radarweg 29
P.O. Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam
The Netherlands

ELSEVIER Inc.
525 B Street, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101-4495
USA

ELSEVIER Ltd
The Boulevard, Langford Lane
Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB
UK

ELSEVIER Ltd
84 Theobalds Road
London WC1X 8RR
UK

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

This work is protected under copyright by Elsevier Ltd, and the following terms and conditions apply to its use:

Photocopying

Single photocopies of single chapters may be made for personal use as allowed by national copyright laws. Permission of the Publisher and payment of a fee is required for all other photocopying, including multiple or systematic copying, copying for advertising or promotional purposes, resale, and all forms of document delivery. Special rates are available for educational institutions that wish to make photocopies for non-profit educational classroom use.

Permissions may be sought directly from Elsevier's Rights Department in Oxford, UK: phone (+44) 1865 843830, fax (+44) 1865 853333, e-mail: permissions@elsevier.com. Requests may also be completed on-line via the Elsevier homepage (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/permissions>).

In the USA, users may clear permissions and make payments through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA; phone: (+1) (978) 7508400, fax: (+1) (978) 7504744, and in the UK through the Copyright Licensing Agency Rapid Clearance Service (CLARCS), 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 0LP, UK; phone: (+44) 20 7631 5555; fax: (+44) 20 7631 5500. Other countries may have a local reprographic rights agency for payments.

Derivative Works

Tables of contents may be reproduced for internal circulation, but permission of the Publisher is required for external resale or distribution of such material. Permission of the Publisher is required for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations.

Electronic Storage or Usage

Permission of the Publisher is required to store or use electronically any material contained in this work, including any chapter or part of a chapter.

Except as outlined above, no part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Publisher.

Address permissions requests to: Elsevier's Rights Department, at the fax and e-mail addresses noted above.

Notice

No responsibility is assumed by the Publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made.

First edition 2005

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

A catalog record is available from the Library of Congress.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record is available from the British Library.

ISBN: 0-08-044570-5 (2 volume set)

Volume 1: Chapters 8, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 24 and 32 were written with support of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FC26-01NT41145. The Government reserves for itself and others acting on its behalf a royalty-free, non-exclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license for Governmental purposes to publish, distribute, translate, duplicate, exhibit and perform these copyrighted papers. EU co-funded work appears in chapters 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37. Norwegian Research Council (Klimatek) co-funded work appears in chapters 1, 5, 7, 10, 12, 15 and 32.

Volume 2: The Storage Preface, Storage Integrity Preface, Monitoring and Verification Preface, Risk Assessment Preface and Chapters 1, 4, 6, 8, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 were written with support of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FC26-01NT41145. The Government reserves for itself and others acting on its behalf a royalty-free, non-exclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license for Governmental purposes to publish, distribute, translate, duplicate, exhibit and perform these copyrighted papers. Norwegian Research Council (Klimatek) co-funded work appears in chapters 9, 15 and 16.

© The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Printed in The Netherlands.

Working together to grow
libraries in developing countries

www.elsevier.com | www.bookaid.org | www.sabre.org

ELSEVIER

BOOK AID
International

Sabre Foundation

Chapter 2

TECHNICAL HIGHLIGHTS OF THE CCP RESEARCH PROGRAM ON GEOLOGICAL STORAGE OF CO₂

S. Imbus

ChevronTexaco Energy Technology Company, Bellaire, TX, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter provides an overview of the contents of this volume and the technical contributions of the CCP research team. Key results from 32 individual research projects are described. Contributions are discussed under four headings: storage integrity; storage optimization; monitoring; and risk assessment.

INTRODUCTION

The geological storage research program focused on four areas: storage integrity, storage optimization, monitoring and risk assessment. The following sections summarize progress in each of these areas.

STORAGE INTEGRITY

The storage “integrity” theme studies are directed towards better understanding elements of natural (reservoir and cap rocks, overburden, faults, etc.) and engineered (well materials) features that permit safe and effective geological storage of CO₂. Implications of an important industrial analog, natural gas storage, are also examined.

Assessment of Storage Integrity from Natural Geological Systems

Features of natural systems that are “effective” in accumulating and retaining large quantities of CO₂ are examined by Stevens (Chapter 3). Three large US CO₂ reservoirs were evaluated: (1) McElmo Dome, CO (30 Tcf at 2300 m; carbonate reservoir with thick halite cap rock), (2) Jackson Dome, MS (2 Tcf at 4700 m; sandstone with some carbonate reservoir with carbonate cap rock) and (3) St Johns, AZ (14 Tcf at 500 m; sandstone reservoir and anhydrite cap rock). Production and operations data were gathered for each of the sites. Key findings of the study are given below.

- CO₂ storage is a natural process that occurs where reliable reservoir seals such as thick evaporites or shales are present.
- Production of CO₂ from natural reservoirs provides insights for CO₂ storage.
- Efficient CO₂ storage operations will require specialized practices and technologies.

Recommendations include further analog studies focusing on classification of site suitability for storage, site characterization, modeling of injection process and monitoring.

The study by Shipton et al. (Chapter 4) on leaking natural CO₂ reservoirs systems focuses on CO₂-charged geysers from Western Colorado Plateau (East Central Utah). A three-dimensional (3D) model for CO₂ sources, travel paths and fate in the subsurface was developed by integrating multiple geologic data sets. Compositional and isotopic data suggest that CO₂ originates from clay-carbonate reactions at 100–200 °C (1.0–1.5 km in Upper Paleozoic or Triassic strata), migrates to a sequence of shallow, stacked reservoirs (300–500 m) with shale cap rocks and escapes to the surface through fractures associated with faults.

Features and distribution of travertine deposits in the area indicate that the system has been leaking since before historical times. Anthropogenic activity, such as drilling through faults, has created additional leakage pathways to the surface and appears to have altered the location and episodicity of CO₂-charged eruptions in the area. No untoward ecological or human health effects attributed to CO₂ release to the surface have been recorded. The study demonstrates the utility of constructing 3D geological and fluid history models to assess the suitability of geologic systems for CO₂ storage.

The Next Generation Capture and Storage (NGCAS) project comprised a multi-scale, integrated assessment of the Forties Field (UK North Sea) for CO₂ storage (Cawley et al., Chapter 5). The study workflow moved from 2D basin scale hydrogeology models to 3D fluid flow simulation around the field to reservoir simulation of CO₂ – water simulations interactions (e.g., diffusion). Risk evaluation applied a series of sensitivity tests that took data uncertainties into account. It was found that the potential for CO₂ escape via geological pathways by various mechanisms (diffusion and advective flow through cap rock, dissolution and transport of CO₂ into the underlying aquifer) is low due to the quality and thickness of the cap rock and overburden and the very slow, compaction driven natural fluid velocity in the reservoir and surrounding area. Although the geologic features of the Forties Field combine to comprise an excellent venue for CO₂ storage, the risks associated with well leakage and seepage need to be examined in detail.

Streit et al. (Chapter 6) reviews the methods used to predict and monitor geomechanical effects of CO₂ injection. Increases in formation fluid pressure due to CO₂ injection decrease the effective rock stress thereby increasing the likelihood of fault reactivation or rock failure. Assessment of the geomechanical stability of reservoir rocks and top seals and faults requires predictions of in situ stresses, fault geometries and rock frictional strength. Commercial tools exist to predict the maximum sustainable fluid pressure for rocks and faults (e.g. FAST™ or TrapTester™). Fault stability is also predicted by mapping fault geometry and constructing fault-failure plots. In assessing the suitability of a CO₂ storage site in a depleted oil or gas field, it is necessary to analyze for the effects of both depletion (from production) and recharging (from injection). Stress–seismic velocity relationships are used to detect poroelastic changes in rocks due to fluid injection. Recent development of new waveforms and data processing techniques may improve the accuracy of seismic techniques used for detecting stress changes. Installation of downhole seismic monitoring instruments may provide rapid, early detection of faulting or fracturing induced by effective stress changes.

Changes in geophysical attributes and mineral stability with CO₂ injection are the subject of the experimental study by Schütt et al. (Chapter 7). Using a triaxial cell and autoclaves to reproduce reservoir PT conditions, supercritical CO₂ was injected into rock samples to assess how suitable existing geophysical models are for predicting rock mechanical changes and whether or not mineral dissolution occurs. Seismic data show that both the bulk and shear modulus depend on the CO₂ saturation and differential pressure. The Gassmann model underestimates the fluid substitution effect that reflects the modulus dispersion between the static (Gassmann) and ultrasonic (laboratory) regimes. The dependence of shear modulus on fluid composition is not predicted by the Gassmann model. The higher pressure sensitivity of the shear modulus, compared to the bulk modulus, may permit discrimination of pressure and saturation effects through simultaneous use of compressional and shear waves. Seismic wave attenuation may be used to infer saturation. The experiments corroborate numerical models that predict fluid-front instabilities. Improvements in the standard models using these data may enhance seismic monitoring techniques. The geochemical results suggest that major elements essential for rock stability and minor elements of importance to water quality are mobilized by CO₂ injection.

Johnson et al. (Chapter 8) used reactive transport geochemical and distinct element geomechanical models to infer long-term effects of CO₂ injection on cap rocks. It was shown that CO₂ influx-triggered mineral dissolution and precipitation reactions in typical shale cap rocks reduce microfracture apertures whereas pressure and effective stress evolution initially increase and then slowly decrease them. For a given shale composition, the extent of geochemical alteration (to reduce permeability) appears nearly independent of key reservoir properties (permeability and lateral continuity) and CO₂ influx parameters (rate, focality and duration). In contrast, the extent of geomechanical degradation (to increase

permeability) is highly dependent on the reservoir and influx parameters as they control the magnitude of pressure perturbation. One implication of this study is that natural CO₂ accumulations, which have not been subjected to large stress changes, may not be good analogues for man-made CO₂ storage reservoirs. Stress changes that could threaten the security of a CO₂ storage project can be avoided by appropriate reservoir selection (e.g. large, unconfined) and adhering to safe operation parameters (e.g. injection rate).

Storage Integrity of Engineered Systems

In the survey of the natural gas storage industry operational experience in North America and Europe, Perry (Chapter 9) draws important parallels to a future CO₂ storage industry. Through operation of ~600 natural gas storage facilities in North America and Europe over the past 90 years, only nine gas migration incidents are recorded (all in the US). These include three cap rock failures, five wellbore failures and one case of poor reservoir selection. The review of natural gas storage technologies with possible implications for CO₂ storage includes the following.

- *Field integrity determination.* This involves selecting a structure that has a competent seal and structurally adequate closure. Broadly structured sites are favored because those with tight structuring have often developed faults and fractures. Pump testing of structures to ensure cap rock integrity is often performed. A modified pump test may be feasible for CO₂ cap rock testing.
- *Monitoring and leak detection.* This involves monitoring via observation wells for occurrence of gas above and lateral to the structure. Similar approaches may be used for CO₂ storage although gas migration may not be as readily detected.
- *Response to leakage.* Leak mitigation measures for natural gas leaks include shallow gas recycle, aquifer pressure control and cap rock sealing. For CO₂ storage, the former approaches are relevant but the latter approach needs further development.

Based on experience from the natural gas storage industry, the CO₂ sequestration industry should investigate the “science of observation wells,” integration of existing technologies for locating and sealing leak, and methods to test field integrity. Claims that gas will never be found outside of the containment area should not be made.

The well integrity issue, particularly as it relates to cement stability, is addressed by Scherer et al. (Chapter 10). Well leakage scenarios are defined, and modeling of the potential number of wells expected to be exposed to a plume of injected CO₂ are tested. Degradation of annular and casing plug cements through poor construction, age or acid attack provides multiple pathways for CO₂ leakage with potential impacts on shallower resources and surface ecosystems. In the high well density Viking Formation (Alberta Basin), a modest CO₂ injection plume of 5 km radius is expected to contact an average of 240 wells. Rates and mechanisms of cement attack by carbonated water are tested experimentally and by simulation of subsurface conditions. Experiments with cements (class H with 0, 6 and 12% bentonite) included exposure of slices of sandstone and limestone cores with cement cores to static carbonated water (3% NaCl) at a range of temperature and pH. Post-exposure, the samples were analyzed for compositional (chemical, mineralization), structural (porosity, cracks) and hardness changes. Cured cement cylinders were exposed to carbonated water to test changes in permeability. Cement pastes were tested to determine the rate of leaching and permeability. The experiments demonstrated that carbonated water attack on cements is rapid. The reaction rim showed increases in porosity, and extensive removal of Ca and changes in Fe redox state (II to III) were noted. Preliminary simulations of plume delivery rate and attendant changes in water composition and pH indicated that the rate of acid attack on cements is most intense with the arrival of the plume but eventually stabilizes to a lower rate. Acid attack on cement is most severe when fresh carbonated water is continually delivered to the exposed cement. The study highlights the need to develop well leakage, cement stability and fluid flow scenarios prior to CO₂ injection in high well density areas.

The storage integrity studies contribute useful protocols for site assessment and considerations for operating and monitoring planning. The natural and industrial analogs are reassuring in terms of safety and provide practical operations and intervention information. The issue of well integrity is increasingly recognized as critical, probably more so than geological systems’ integrity.

STORAGE OPTIMIZATION

The storage optimization studies are aimed at realizing operational efficiencies or cost savings that might make CO₂ storage a technical and economic success.

Industry CO₂ Injection Experience

Grigg (Chapter 11) surveyed the performance of Permian Basin (West Texas, Southeast New Mexico) CO₂ EOR operations over the past 30 years to assess what can be learned from the projects and where further research is needed. Data from operator surveys and the literature were tabulated by reservoir/seal type, performance issues such as injectivity, oil response and gas breakthrough and containment. There is significant industry experience in the safe separation, compression, transportation and injection of CO₂. In general, for well-characterized reservoirs in which previous operation problems were noted (e.g. during water flood), CO₂ behavior is consistent with reservoir simulations. In the short term (compared to geological time), behavior is consistent with predictions from reservoir simulations. In the short time that CO₂ has been injected into reservoirs, seals are maintaining their integrity and CO₂ is retained in the injection formation. The Permian Basin CO₂ EOR survey is a valuable “lessons-learned” exercise for CO₂ storage efforts given the extensive and unique collective experience of such operations.

Injection of acid gas (CO₂ and H₂S) from natural gas processing has been practiced without incident for 15 years at over 40 sites in the Alberta Basin of western Canada. Bachu and Haug (Chapter 12) describe the wide range of acid gas compositions injected, reservoir characteristics and operating conditions involved. Site selection criteria, including proximity to source, confinement of gas, effect of the gas on reservoir rock, protection of energy, mineral and groundwater resources, equity interests, wellbore integrity and public safety are outlined. Well completions, testing, operations and abandonment regulatory requirements have been established to ensure safe storage. The acid gas injection experience is encouraging for the prospects of safe and secure CO₂ storage as the presence of H₂S in the former poses a much greater hazard. The remaining issues include long-term containment and the applicability to larger scale operations.

Simulation of CO₂ Injection Performance in Coal Beds

Coal bed storage of CO₂ offers an economic offset from expected enhanced coal bed methane (ECBM) recovery. In the case study of a Colorado Plateau gas field (Tiffany) currently under N₂ flood, Wo and Liang (Chapter 14) outline considerations for the success of CO₂ ECBM in terms of reservoir performance and the potential for seepage. History matching of the N₂ injection shows that coal/CO₂ contact is limited. N₂ injection has caused coal fracturing and the development of preferred gas pathways from the injection to production wells. Methane seepage has already been noted in the San Juan Basin area. A representative seepage model for the Fruitland coal simulated conditions under which outcrop seepage of CO₂ and methane can be expected. Placement of injection wells within 2 miles of an outcrop could result in seepage of injected and mobilized gases.

Cost Reduction

Heggum et al. (Chapter 15) focused on designing safe and cost-effective systems and operational parameters for the compression and transportation of CO₂ under various conditions (e.g. offshore versus onshore, distance, presence of cooling water, CO₂ impurities). The principal goal of the study is to assess the utility of inexpensive carbon steel in settings, such as offshore Norway (hydrated, cool) as opposed to the better-known US situation (dehydrated, onshore). Based on water solubility in supercritical CO₂ experiments it is suggested that the proposed dehydration specification for LNG (50 ppm) might easily be relaxed to 600 ppm (the existing US Kinder-Morgan specification). Thermodynamic calculations of free water precipitation from supercritical CO₂ indicate that the specification might be further relaxed to 1300 ppm.

The Seiersten and Kongshaug (Chapter 16) study provided experimental results for CO₂ corrosivity to carbon steel. Experimental data obtained at higher pressure (up to 50 bar) showed that corrosion rates in CO₂ systems containing water and those containing water and MEG inhibitor are considerably lower than that predicted by existing corrosion models, particularly at low temperatures typical for subsea pipelines in northern waters. The study provides the basis for operational constraints for CO₂ transport in inexpensive carbon steel pipelines which may improve the economics of CO₂ storage offshore.

The study by Sass et al. (Chapter 17) on CO₂ impurities' tradeoffs serves as a link between storage studies and those examining transportation and capture. The substantial cost-saving potential in CO₂ capture of delivering CO₂ contaminated with impurities such as SO_x, NO_x and others (e.g. N₂, O₂, hydrocarbons, Hg) is balanced with potential operational complications and damage to surface facilities such as compressors, pipelines and injection systems. Absorption and regeneration characteristics of amines and other solvents used for CO₂ capture are adversely affected by acid gas impurities. Compression of gas mixtures may be complicated by the presence of higher boiling constituents, which may limit the ability to achieve adequate interstage cooling and damage compressors and related processing equipment. Materials used in separation, compression and transmission are subject to corrosion by carbonic, sulfuric, nitric and nitrous acids. Although corrosion mechanisms and their effects are fairly well understood, further work needs to be done on phase behavior of gas mixtures and their effects on compression and piping. Once the likely gas composition ranges from the capture process are defined, experiments and thermodynamic modeling can proceed to better predict possible adverse effects of impure gas streams and approaches devised to prevent them.

Bryant and Lake (Chapter 18) examined the possible subsurface implications of injecting CO₂ with impurities (e.g. SO_x, NO_x) into a saline formation (dissolution/precipitation affecting injectivity) and for CO₂ EOR. It was found that injecting CO₂ with impurities is unlikely to degrade injectivity even in the worst case scenario. Increased acidity from the nitric or sulfuric acid might even improve injectivity (temporarily). Impurities in CO₂ EOR injection are unlikely to affect performance as there is a tradeoff between lowering MMP and increasing the mobility ratio. The study suggests that CO₂ impurities (particularly, soluble species such as SO_x and NO_x) are not of particular concern in aquifer injectivity or EOR performance. Other gases such as N₂, however, would present operational difficulties and degrade performance.

The optimization studies provide direct industry analogs for safe and effective CO₂ injection. Simulations of CO₂ behavior in the subsurface document the rates and mechanisms of CO₂ immobilization. Reducing costs of CO₂ transportation and storage may become critical determinants in implementing CO₂ storage projects.

MONITORING

The monitoring studies were intended to examine the efficacy of a wide range of techniques, commercially available and under development, applied remotely, near the surface or in the subsurface.

Arts and Winthægen (Chapter 19) conducted a broad survey of geophysical and geochemical monitoring techniques for the purpose of recommending "optimal" techniques for various CO₂ storage venues. Monitoring well technologies include pressure and temperature sensors, electrical resistivity, TDT, microseismic, VSP, crosswell seismic and fluid sampling. Surface geophysical methods include 4D seismic, subbottom profiling and sonar (marine), gravity, electromagnetics (EM), gravity, InSAR and tiltmeters. Geochemical monitoring includes groundwater sampling, tracer surveys, atmospheric detection and geobotanical hyperspectral remote sensing. The applicability of the various monitoring techniques was matched to specific features, events and processes (FEPs) such as those related to seal, casing/cement or well failure. The study provides a useful assessment of available technologies to monitor CO₂ leakage in a variety of settings and potential failure modes.

Atmospheric

In addition to surveying the state of the art in atmospheric monitoring systems, Shuler and Tang (Chapter 20) evaluate in detail the capability of various ground-based instruments to detect CO₂ leakage. The target detectable leak rate of 1% over 100 years (0.01%/year) was used as a base case. The detectability of leaks of this magnitude depends on the amount of leakage with time (flux), size of the affected area, mode of leakage (diffuse or point source) and atmospheric conditions. Currently available instruments can detect if the atmospheric CO₂ concentrations increase 10 ppm over background. Nomograms are used to predict the "excess" CO₂ present in the atmosphere for a given situation. Open path instruments (laser spectrometers) may be a cost-effective means of detecting small CO₂ leakage over a field-sized area (a few km²). A spreadsheet application produced for the study permits matching of analytical instruments suitable for detecting CO₂ under various leakage scenarios.

The “eddy covariance” (micrometeorological perturbation) method, a technology used to establish baseline CO₂ flux from plant photosynthesis and respiration cycles, was evaluated for its applicability to CO₂ leak detection at the field scale by Miles et al. (Chapter 21). The technology is based on laser spectrometers mounted on towers (~10 m) that could be set up in an array at the field scale. This technology has been widely applied and is considered reliable and robust. Its applicability and expense should be compared with similar ground-based detection, given field size and the type and magnitude of CO₂ leakage.

The “hyperspectral geobotanical” remote sensing study by Pickles and Cover (Chapter 22) uses aerial data acquisition and processing to indirectly detect CO₂ leakage through CO₂ effects on plants and soils. Case studies include a satellite survey of the Mammoth Lakes, CA area where substantial volcanogenic leakage is known to have caused tree kills, and an aerial survey of Rangely Field, CO where low CO₂ leakage due to EOR operations is postulated. Hyperspectral images of Mammoth Lake and Rangely correlated well with ground-based CO₂ measurements and observations of vegetation effects. The Rangely Field surveys included pre- and post-rain images that showed marked differences in the (sparse) vegetation patterns but no obvious indications of CO₂ leakage. Detection of CO₂ leakage at Rangely Field will require further development and be mindful of the results of an independent Colorado School of Mines soil gas survey that showed little to no CO₂ leakage from the EOR operation (however, a possibly significant methane flux was detected). Additional processing and interpretation might reveal soil changes due to long-term CO₂ leakage and the location of hidden faults.

Geophysical and Geochemical Techniques

The “novel geophysical” monitoring study conducted by Hoversten and Gasperikova (Chapter 23) evaluates the resolution and applicability of seismic and non-seismic geophysical techniques to detecting CO₂ leakage. The Schrader Bluff, Alaska and S. Liberty, Texas reservoirs were used to model the spatial resolution of various geophysical CO₂ detection techniques. The significant changes in water with increasing CO₂ saturation might be detectable using seismic amplitude and AVO analysis. Ground-based gravity modeling shows that resolution is insufficient but might be improved with permanent sensor emplacement coupled with surface deformation measurements. Borehole gravity instrumentation emplaced up to 1200 ft above the reservoir might be sufficient to directly map the areas of net density changes caused by injecting CO₂ into water. The electrical resistivity changes attending CO₂ dissolution in water are easily detectable using EM techniques. This technique is currently available, inexpensive compared to seismic and most applicable to CO₂/brine systems. The streaming potential (SP) method has been successfully modeled in 2D for the Liberty Field and experimental results show promise. Unlike the other techniques, however, further developments in instrumentation and interpretation are needed. The novel geophysical techniques show considerable technical promise for CO₂ performance and leakage modeling whether by adding value to time-lapse seismic data or by development of inexpensive non-seismic techniques.

The utility and cost of using noble gas additives to monitor CO₂ movement and leakage in subsurface were studied by Nimz and Hudson (Chapter 24). The West Texas Mabee Field was used as a model for the study. Among the factors considered in selecting noble gases are cost, availability, subsurface transport characteristics and “distinctiveness” relative to the atmosphere and noble gases native to the reservoir. The Xe “system” (10 isotopes) was considered to meet these criteria. Given the volume of CO₂ injected into the reservoir and the detectability limits of the Xe isotopes, it is calculated that it would cost ~\$0.18/tonne CO₂ stored to adopt this monitoring system for the Mabee field. Further work on the subsurface partitioning behavior of noble gases relative to CO₂ is a prerequisite of effectively applying this technique.

The monitoring studies have surveyed diverse techniques in various stages of development. Near-term application of ground-based techniques is feasible. Further development of other technologies is warranted as these techniques may not be universally applicable and considerable cost savings might result.

RISK ASSESSMENT

The risk assessment studies have evolved from earlier lessons-learned analyses of natural and industrial analogs to scenario development and modeling of specific elements of systems to whole system comprehensive methodologies.

HSE Analogs, Regulatory and Intervention/Remediation

Benson (Chapter 25) produced a comprehensive compendium of information relevant to CO₂ storage (directly or by analog) via experiences with deep well injection of industrial wastes, natural gas storage, geologic repositories for nuclear waste and other information. Human health and ecosystem responses to various levels of CO₂, which are the most immediate concerns associated with CO₂ capture, transportation, injection and leakage, are also addressed. The lessons learned are as follows.

1. There is an abundant base of experience to draw on that is relevant and suggests that CO₂ can be stored safely if storage sites are selected carefully and monitored (natural gas storage, deep injection of liquid and hazardous waste, enhanced oil recovery).
2. The human health effects of exposure to elevated concentrations of CO₂ are well understood and occupational safety regulations are in place for safe use (confined spaces, transportation, food additive, pipeline transportation). Ecosystem impacts of elevated CO₂ concentrations in soils are not as well understood and may need additional study.
3. The hazard presented by CO₂ depends more on the nature of the release rather than on the size of the release (volcanic eruptions, ecosystem fluxes, fire suppression, limnic releases).
4. Experience from industrial analogs predicts that the biggest risks from CO₂ storage will be from leakage from poor quality or aging injection wells, leakage up abandoned wells, leakage through poorly characterized cap rocks and result from inconsistent or inadequate monitoring that could have been used for early intervention.
5. Regulatory paradigms and approaches vary and none address all the issues that are important for CO₂ storage (leakage between geologic units, performance versus practice-based requirements, state versus federal regulatory oversight, short versus long-term monitoring).

Recommendations for risk management approaches include development of a single set of consistent regulations, identification and investigation of the effectiveness of multi-barrier concepts, development of well completion, abandonment procedures and methods and of a risk management strategy that couples monitoring requirements with performance confirmation. Risk mitigation and remediation methods should also be developed. The lessons-learned study was an early SMV contribution that guided selection of subsequent risk assessment projects.

Hepple (Chapter 26) surveys data on human health impacts and ecosystem effects from exposure to elevated CO₂ concentrations. CO₂ is ubiquitous in the environment and an essential part of all living things. Humans can tolerate up to 1% concentration without suffering adverse effects. Exposure to concentrations of 3% begins to have acute, but reversible, physiological effects. Concentrations of greater than 10% can lead to death. Regulatory guidelines have been established for the safe use of CO₂. Ecosystem impacts due to high soil gas CO₂ concentrations are not well understood and more information on potential impacts may be needed.

The evolution and status of US federal and state laws and regulations to protect underground sources of drinking water from industrial and municipal wastes and their likely applicability to CO₂ storage are discussed by Apps (Chapter 27). Application of Type I well standards may be used for CO₂ injection. Under the Type I classification, CO₂ injection could be classified as non-hazardous, unless impurities such as H₂S and Hg are present. The buoyant character of supercritical CO₂ in the subsurface, however, would present a containment risk that is not addressed by current Type I well regulations governing disposal of hazardous waste. A new category of injection well, designed specifically for CO₂ storage projects may be desirable to ensure safe and effective storage, while facilitating the application of this technology.

Early detection and remediation of CO₂ leakage from storage sites is an understudied topic that Benson and Hepple (Chapter 28) address. The objective of this scoping study was to identify (1) monitoring approaches for early detection of CO₂ leakage, (2) remediation options that could be used to eliminate or manage risks after leakage has been detected and (3) additional information and R&D necessary to develop new remediation approaches. Scenarios for CO₂ leakage from storage sites include damaged injection wells, over-pressured reservoirs and accumulation in groundwater. The consequences of leakage include groundwater and surface water contamination by acidification and toxic element mobilization, vadose zone accumulation and surface releases. Remediation options applicable to leaking CO₂ storage projects are

available from natural gas storage, oil and gas production, groundwater remediation and soil gas/vadose zone clean-up experience. HSE concerns become relevant not only for large leaks but also for chronic small leaks that may cause CO₂ to accumulate in structures. The study establishes a framework from which CO₂ leakage scenarios can be developed for specific storage sites and outlines technologies needed to manage such leaks and lessen their consequences. A site-specific plan that includes such contingencies will be essential for acceptance of CO₂ storage by NGOs, regulators and the public.

A coupled modeling framework has been developed by Oldenburg and Unger (Chapter 29) to simulate CO₂ leakage and seepage in the subsurface and atmosphere for risk characterization. The coupled model framework is built on the integral finite difference multi-phase and multi-component reservoir simulator (TOUGH2), and models CO₂ and air in both subsurface and atmospheric surface layer regions simultaneously. The model is demonstrated for a coupled subsurface–surface layer system and shows that seeping CO₂ can reflux into the subsurface as a dissolved component in infiltrating rainwater. Whereas CO₂ concentrations in the subsurface might be high, surface layer winds act to reduce CO₂ concentrations via dilution to low levels for the fluxes investigated (e.g. the Rio Vista, CA area which is characterized by strong persistent winds). High CO₂ levels persisting in the vadose zone, however, are a threat to ecosystems and for humans occupying poorly ventilated, low lying structures. The coupled subsurface–surface leakage and seepage modeling framework is likely to attract the attention of stakeholders in proposed CO₂ storage projects as the behavior of CO₂ at the surface is of the most immediate concern.

Onstott (Chapter 30) assessed potential impacts of CO₂ injection on subsurface organisms. The deep biosphere extends to ~3.5 km with decreasing number of organisms with depth. These organisms are primarily methanogens, sulfur and iron reducers, and fermentative anaerobes. Genetic testing (16S rDNA) suggests that only about one-third of these subsurface organisms have been identified. By defining microbial assemblages and determining “microbial power” (free energy of redox reactions and availability of nutrients), a forward model is used to predict the impact of CO₂ injection on microbes in different environments (reservoir lithologies, ground water types) over three reservoir temperatures and constrained pCO₂ and pH/Eh. Fe (III) reducers and fermentative anaerobes are not favored by the presence of CO₂ but there is an increase in methanogenesis and acetogenesis. In general, the impact on microorganism’s growth in carbonate systems is expected to be most significant.

Risk Assessment Methodologies

A methodology for risk assessment was developed by Stenhouse et al. (Chapter 31) for the IEA Weyburn Monitoring and Storage Project to determine the long-term fate of CO₂ injected into the reservoir. An interdisciplinary effort involving geology, hydrogeology, geochemistry, geomechanics, reservoir modeling and wellbore technology was made to assess the potential for CO₂ migration, via natural and artificial pathways, from the reservoir to the environment. The core of the long-term assessment is the systems analysis approach which includes definitions, development of internal, external and inter-relating FEPs, construction of scenarios and description of how FEP–FEP interactions will be accommodated in the consequence analysis modeling undertaken for each scenario. The results of this in-progress study are expected to quantify the length of CO₂ containment in the system and potential consequences of containment loss.

Risk assessment has become a critical issue for advancing CO₂ transportation and storage. Although the behavior and HSE impacts of CO₂ leakage are understood, the challenge is to predict the likelihood and impact of such leakage at specific sites. Further research and development on intervention and remediation technologies are needed to assure avoidance of leaks and effectively treat those that might do occur.

Wo et al. (Chapter 32) have developed a mathematical model for probabilistic risk assessment for the Tiffany Field, CO which is presently under N₂ flood for ECBM production. The risk assessment methodology includes four major elements (hazard identification, event and failure quantification, predictive modeling, risk characterization) and the mathematical model includes six functional constituents (initiators, processes, failure modes, consequences, indicators and inference queries). To demonstrate the applicability of the methodology and model, a prototype application, capable of performing scenario and Monte Carlo simulations, was developed in Microsoft Access™. The geomechanical study revealed processes that lead to risks of developing leakage paths at each step of CO₂ storage in coal beds. It was

found that risk of leakage is higher for old wells that were converted to injectors and that the most likely mechanism of leakage path formation is slip on preexisting discontinuities cross-cutting coal seams. Predictive quantitative modeling demonstrates that elevated pressure resulting from N_2 injection caused the coal fractures on the preferred permeability trends to expand and extend from injectors to producers. This could increase the risk of early gas (N_2 plus CO_2) breakthrough and under certain conditions the risk of CO_2 seepage from the outcrop is increased if CO_2 injection is placed within 2 miles of an outcrop. The importance of evaluating the effects of processes employed prior to CO_2 injection (e.g. coal bed depressurization and dewatering, N_2 injection) on CO_2 movement is highlighted. Further testing of the methodology on additional, candidate CO_2 storage venues and benchmarking with other risk assessment models will strengthen the application and make it more universally accepted by regulators and the public.

Wildenborg et al. (Chapter 33) have developed a comprehensive methodology for long-term safety assessment of underground CO_2 storage that is available for application. The three basic components of the methodology are: (1) scenario analysis, which includes a comprehensive inventory of risk factors or FEPs that are selected as appropriate to a given venue, (2) model development, which enables a quantitative safety assessment and (3) consequence analysis. A performance assessment (PA) model based on the large number of simulations with physical models comprised of multiple compartments has been developed. The PA model is capable of a statistical analysis that predicts CO_2 concentrations and fluxes in the biosphere, and therefore established whether or not they are likely to exceed acceptable levels. The methodology has been applied to a reference scenario (combined on- and offshore case, The Netherlands). The scenario was run without mitigation efforts and therefore represents a worst case scenario. The results showed that seepage of CO_2 to the biosphere would not occur in the 10,000 year timeframe simulated for all 1000 parameter realizations considered. Further development of the surface (hydrosphere and atmosphere) components and benchmarking with other risk assessment models will improve its reliability and acceptance by regulators, NGOs and the public.

CONCLUSION

The CCP geological storage program addressed many of the technical gaps evident at its inception. Future work should aim to integrate concepts, models and simulation into a comprehensive methodology for storage site assessment, process optimization, near- and long-term monitoring and verification strategies and credible risk assessment protocols.

This page is intentionally left blank