

**Carbon Dioxide Capture for Storage
in Deep Geologic Formations –
Results from the CO₂
Capture Project**

**Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide
with Monitoring and Verification**

Volume 2

Elsevier Internet Homepage – <http://www.elsevier.com>

Consult the Elsevier homepage for full catalogue information on all books, major reference works, journals, electronic products and services.

Elsevier Titles of Related Interest

AN END TO GLOBAL WARMING

L.O. Williams

ISBN: 0-08-044045-2, 2002

FUNDAMENTALS AND TECHNOLOGY OF COMBUSTION

F. El-Mahallawy, S. El-Din Habik

ISBN: 0-08-044106-8, 2002

GREENHOUSE GAS CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES: 6TH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

John Gale, Yoichi Kaya

ISBN: 0-08-044276-5, 2003

MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE: FLEXIBILITY MECHANISMS

T. Jackson

ISBN: 0-08-044092-4, 2001

Related Journals:

Elsevier publishes a wide-ranging portfolio of high quality research journals, encompassing the energy policy, environmental, and renewable energy fields. A sample journal issue is available online by visiting the Elsevier web site (details at the top of this page). Leading titles include:

Energy Policy

Renewable Energy

Energy Conversion and Management

Biomass & Bioenergy

Environmental Science & Policy

Global and Planetary Change

Atmospheric Environment

Chemosphere – Global Change Science

Fuel, Combustion & Flame

Fuel Processing Technology

All journals are available online via ScienceDirect: www.sciencedirect.com

To Contact the Publisher

Elsevier welcomes enquiries concerning publishing proposals: books, journal special issues, conference proceedings, etc. All formats and media can be considered. Should you have a publishing proposal you wish to discuss, please contact, without obligation, the publisher responsible for Elsevier's Energy program:

Henri van Dorssen

Publisher

Elsevier Ltd

The Boulevard, Langford Lane

Kidlington, Oxford

OX5 1GB, UK

Phone: +44 1865 84 3682

Fax: +44 1865 84 3931

E.mail: h.dorssen@elsevier.com

General enquiries, including placing orders, should be directed to Elsevier's Regional Sales Offices – please access the Elsevier homepage for full contact details (homepage details at the top of this page).

Carbon Dioxide Capture for Storage in Deep Geologic Formations – Results from the CO₂ Capture Project

**Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide
with Monitoring and Verification**

Edited by

Sally M. Benson

*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Berkeley, CA, USA*

and Associate Editors

Curt Oldenburg¹, Mike Hoversten¹ and Scott Imbus²

*¹Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA, USA*

*²Chevron Texaco Energy Technology Company
Bellaire, TX, USA*

Volume 2



ELSEVIER

2005

Amsterdam – Boston – Heidelberg – London – New York – Oxford
Paris – San Diego – San Francisco – Singapore – Sydney – Tokyo

ELSEVIER B.V.
Radarweg 29
P.O. Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam
The Netherlands

ELSEVIER Inc.
525 B Street, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101-4495
USA

ELSEVIER Ltd
The Boulevard, Langford Lane
Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB
UK

ELSEVIER Ltd
84 Theobalds Road
London WC1X 8RR
UK

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

This work is protected under copyright by Elsevier Ltd, and the following terms and conditions apply to its use:

Photocopying

Single photocopies of single chapters may be made for personal use as allowed by national copyright laws. Permission of the Publisher and payment of a fee is required for all other photocopying, including multiple or systematic copying, copying for advertising or promotional purposes, resale, and all forms of document delivery. Special rates are available for educational institutions that wish to make photocopies for non-profit educational classroom use.

Permissions may be sought directly from Elsevier's Rights Department in Oxford, UK: phone (+44) 1865 843830, fax (+44) 1865 853333, e-mail: permissions@elsevier.com. Requests may also be completed on-line via the Elsevier homepage (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/permissions>).

In the USA, users may clear permissions and make payments through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA; phone: (+1) (978) 7508400, fax: (+1) (978) 7504744, and in the UK through the Copyright Licensing Agency Rapid Clearance Service (CLARCS), 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P 0LP, UK; phone: (+44) 20 7631 5555; fax: (+44) 20 7631 5500. Other countries may have a local reprographic rights agency for payments.

Derivative Works

Tables of contents may be reproduced for internal circulation, but permission of the Publisher is required for external resale or distribution of such material. Permission of the Publisher is required for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations.

Electronic Storage or Usage

Permission of the Publisher is required to store or use electronically any material contained in this work, including any chapter or part of a chapter.

Except as outlined above, no part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Publisher.

Address permissions requests to: Elsevier's Rights Department, at the fax and e-mail addresses noted above.

Notice

No responsibility is assumed by the Publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made.

First edition 2005

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

A catalog record is available from the Library of Congress.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record is available from the British Library.

ISBN: 0-08-044570-5 (2 volume set)

Volume 1: Chapters 8, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 24 and 32 were written with support of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FC26-01NT41145. The Government reserves for itself and others acting on its behalf a royalty-free, non-exclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license for Governmental purposes to publish, distribute, translate, duplicate, exhibit and perform these copyrighted papers. EU co-funded work appears in chapters 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37. Norwegian Research Council (Klimatek) co-funded work appears in chapters 1, 5, 7, 10, 12, 15 and 32.

Volume 2: The Storage Preface, Storage Integrity Preface, Monitoring and Verification Preface, Risk Assessment Preface and Chapters 1, 4, 6, 8, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 were written with support of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FC26-01NT41145. The Government reserves for itself and others acting on its behalf a royalty-free, non-exclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license for Governmental purposes to publish, distribute, translate, duplicate, exhibit and perform these copyrighted papers. Norwegian Research Council (Klimatek) co-funded work appears in chapters 9, 15 and 16.

© The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Printed in The Netherlands.

Working together to grow
libraries in developing countries

www.elsevier.com | www.bookaid.org | www.sabre.org

ELSEVIER

BOOK AID
International

Sabre Foundation

Chapter 34

KEY FINDINGS, TECHNOLOGY GAPS AND THE PATH FORWARD

Scott Imbus¹ and Charles Christopher²

¹Chevron Texaco Energy Technology Company, Bellaire, TX, USA

²CO₂ Management, Innovation, Improved Recovery, BP Americas Inc., Houston TX, USA

Options for large-scale geological storage of CO₂ emissions have proceeded from concept development and capacity inventories in the 1990s to systematic site characterization and strategies for injection, long-term monitoring and risk assessment in recent years. To date, the only purpose-built CO₂ storage facility is the 1 million tonne/year Sleipner–Utsira project in the Norwegian North Sea. Although the project is deemed successful, it is doubtful that numerous projects of the scale or considerably larger such projects will be permitted without extensive technical due diligence.

In the constellation of industry, academic and government programs addressing geological CO₂ storage, the role assumed by the CCP Storage Monitoring and Verification (SMV) program over 2000–2004 is unique. The risk-based approach adopted entailed identifying technical gaps and addressing them by leveraging the existing natural and industrial analog knowledge base and developing new R&D avenues. Whereas some projects were based on a specific asset or storage venue type, the applications developed are universally applicable. The present chapter outlines the key findings of the SMV program and identifies needs for further R&D needed to support pilots, demonstration and commercial projects.

The SMV program was comprised of some 30 projects organized along four technical areas.

1. “Integrity”—assessing the competence of natural and engineered systems to retain CO₂ over extended periods
2. “Optimization”—strategies for improving the efficiency and economics of CO₂ transportation and storage
3. “Monitoring”—identification of techniques suitable for tracking CO₂ movement within (performance) and outside (leakage or seepage) the injection target
4. “Risk Assessment”—development of concepts, protocols and methodologies to quantify probability and impact of CO₂ leakage from storage sites.

INTEGRITY

The SMV integrity studies included characterization of naturally charged CO₂ systems, a survey of the natural gas storage industry, evaluations of reservoir and cap rock property responses to CO₂ injection and the stability of well materials in the presence of carbonated water. Key findings are given below.

- The suitability of natural systems to retain CO₂ over extended periods of time is predictable using 3D structural and stratigraphic models combined with fluid migration history analysis.
- The basin to reservoir scale geohydrologic model and simulation of CO₂ storage in the Forties Field serves as a prototype for systematic assessment of prospective geological storage sites.
- A survey of the natural gas storage industry, comprising >600 facilities operated over 90 years in North America and Europe, documents few gas migration incidents and an excellent HSE record. Site selection, operation and leak detection, intervention and remediation techniques used by the gas storage are applicable to CO₂ storage.
- Assessments of rock response to CO₂ injection through core flood experiments, geomechanical models and geochemical/geomechanical simulations identify and begin to quantify risks for failure through fracturing and fault reactivation.

- Experiments demonstrate that well materials currently in use are subject to rapid degradation through carbonic acid attack, particularly in the case of flowing water.

The importance of integrated geological characterization of prospective CO₂ storage sites from the systems scale to the reservoir scale is highlighted by the SMV integrity studies. There is a particular need to obtain reservoir and cap rock samples for geomechanical and geochemical testing under CO₂-flooded reservoir conditions and matching of observed behavior using simulations. Further work with natural gas storage and EOR analogs will likely reveal additional details of geologic features and operational parameters necessary for appropriate selection and safe operation of CO₂ storage facilities. Well integrity issues are clearly becoming more of a concern than geological integrity issues. Development of new, resistant materials and sealants and modification of existing construction and completion protocols are essential. Novel technologies for rehabilitation of old wells and leakage detection and intervention are essential needs for CO₂ storage facility development in depleted oil and gas fields.

OPTIMIZATION

The SMV optimization studies sought to leverage industry experience of gas injection, identify operational parameters that ensure rapid and secure CO₂ immobilization and realize cost reduction opportunities in CO₂ capture, transportation and injection. Key findings include the following.

- A survey of the CO₂ EOR experience, centered on the Permian Basin for ~3 decades, shows that performance issues are mostly attributable to inadequate reservoir characterization. Leakage and other upward incidents have not been reported (although monitoring for CO₂ leakage is not in widespread use). The development of acid gas (CO₂ and H₂S form gas processing) injection programs in North America and elsewhere demonstrates that more hazardous gases can be safely injected and stored given appropriate pre-injection characterization, well construction design and testing, controlled injection testing and long-term monitoring.
- Injection of CO₂ into depleted gas fields is promising as infrastructure is in place and gas containment is proven. Experiments and models demonstrate that CO₂ compatibility with remnant hydrocarbon gases is predictable and that, given the high compressibility of CO₂, storage capacity may approach five times that of the original hydrocarbon charge.
- Injection of CO₂ into saline formations comprises the largest volume opportunity for CO₂ storage although compared to oil and gas reservoirs, reservoir data and infrastructure are often lacking and economic offsets are unavailable. Nevertheless, well-planned saline formation CO₂ injection projects could minimize costs and maximize storage through efficient well placement and operating parameters. Two independent reservoir simulations that variously incorporated multiple water–CO₂ interaction phenomena (e.g. buoyant flow, solubility trapping, pore space capillary trapping and mineralization) show that injection at the base of the aquifer slows the progress of CO₂ migration to the top of the reservoir and contact with abandoned wells. A considerable proportion of the CO₂ is immobilized in the decadal timeframe and the vast majority in the millennial timeframe. Immobilization of CO₂ via mineralization is probably minor and effective over the 10,000 + year timeframe.
- The success of CO₂ injection into coal beds for the purpose of enhanced coal bed methane recovery (ECBM) and permanent CO₂ storage relies on appropriate coal characterization, production history (primary production and N₂ injection) and facility installation and operation.
- Opportunities to reduce CO₂ capture cost by injecting less than pure CO₂ streams (~5% SO_x, NO_x) into aquifers or CO₂ EOR fields are unlikely to damage clastic reservoir or substantially affect oil production. The effects of such contaminants, particularly in the presence of water, on surface equipment (pipelines, compressors, etc.), however, is a concern.
- Costs associated with long distance pipeline transportation of CO₂ from the capture point to storage reservoir may determine the economic and technical feasibility of a CO₂ storage facility. New experimental and theoretical data on water solubility in CO₂ and predicted corrosion/erosion rates demonstrate that existing specifications for expensive alloy steels currently in place may be relaxed given some circumstances, particularly in offshore, northern water environments.

Early opportunities for geological CO₂ storage, particularly in regimes without carbon taxes or restrictions, will focus on settings with enhanced resource recovery potential. Existing enhanced recovery projects,

particularly EOR are promising, but need more study related to storage security in more diverse reservoir/cap rock types (e.g. clastic as opposed to carbonates prevalent in the Permian Basin). Separation of CO₂ and other impurities during gas processing to meet pipeline specifications and its subsequent injection into saline aquifers would add a relatively small incremental cost. Credits might be obtained for associated SO_x and NO_x disposal. Accurate reservoir characterization and predictions of CO₂ behavior in the subsurface will, along with establishing best practices for facility operations and abandonment, ease the regulatory approval of CO₂ storage projects and ensure good performance and long-term safety. The poor geographic match between industrial CO₂ sources and suitable geologic sinks in many areas of the World will require new thinking on transportation systems. Adapting existing operation practices to extend the use of conventional materials such as carbon steel in pipelines and identifying alternative transportation schemes (e.g. shipping) will determine the technical and economic viability of many CO₂ capture and storage schemes.

MONITORING

The SMV monitoring program evaluated a broad range of existing and novel technologies that might be used to improve the cost effectiveness and safety of geological CO₂ storage. These technologies ranged from remote detection of injected CO₂ effects on the surface to direct detection near the surface to alternatives for subsurface imaging of CO₂ movement. Key findings are given below.

- Existing monitoring techniques vary widely in resolution and cost. Successful application depends on site-specific geologic and geographic features and required resolution level over time.
- The satellite-based InSAR technique may have the resolution necessary to detect ground movement from CO₂ injection if topographic effects are minimized. Remote geobotanical acquisition produces detailed surface images but relies on indirect effects of CO₂ on plant life or soils that, unless extreme, must be surveyed over an extended period of time.
- Near-ground direct CO₂ laser spectroscopy detection techniques are already in commercial use. Their ability to detect CO₂ depends on the rate, magnitude and type (diffuse or point) of seepage and local topography and atmospheric conditions.
- Conventional time lapse (4D) seismic techniques have a proven ability to image CO₂ movement in the subsurface but are expensive, logistically difficult over the long term and in some areas restricted due to environmental impacts. Non-seismic geophysical methods may have the resolution to detect subsurface CO₂ movement inexpensively over long periods without little impact on the surface.
- Addition of natural and synthetic tracers to injected CO₂ could be used to monitor the movement of injected CO₂ within target reservoirs. This would allow for detection of leaks from well bores and faults and in predicting gas break through in time to adjust operating parameters. The Mabee Field case study identified an isotope of Xe as the ideal noble gas tracer based on distinctiveness from natural reservoir and atmospheric noble gases and cost/availability.

An ideal monitoring system for a given CO₂ storage project would include the necessary resolution based on local subsurface and surface features, cost effectiveness and robust and stable operation with minimal environmental impact. Meeting these criteria would probably require some redundancy (subsurface imaging, tracers and surface collection and detection) with reliance of different techniques over short and long terms. Updating and calibration of reservoir simulations to match monitoring results will be necessary to verify CO₂ storage for carbon credits and ultimately facility abandonment. Development of inexpensive, instrumented monitoring wells and dual use wells (injection and post-injection monitoring) may be a cost effective, long-term solution to reservoir surveillance.

RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk assessment methods have long been applied to familiar hazards. The SMV risk assessment program includes a HSE perspective on handling and storage of CO₂ and other industrial materials, simulations showing the behavior of CO₂ in the vadose zone and atmosphere, strategies for early detection, intervention and remediation of CO₂ leakage and the development of two comprehensive methodologies tailored to geologic CO₂ storage. Key findings include the following.

- An initial survey of natural and industrial analogs to CO₂ handling, storage and HSE/regulatory implications has become a much-cited contribution to geologic CO₂ storage and provided guidance to the selection and execution of several of the subsequent SMV projects.
- The comprehensive risk assessment methodology developed by TNO included features, events and processes (FEP) development and application over a multi-compartment model. Testing of the model predicted no leakage over the 10,000 year timeframe (the consequence analysis was therefore not performed). Further testing is recommended.
- The INEL probabilistic methodology, in addition to its capability of predicting the likelihood and consequences of CO₂ leakage over multiple compartments, allows testing of well placement options and operation parameters for safe and effective CO₂ storage in coal beds.
- The concentration of CO₂ within the vadose zone and topographic lows with eventual atmospheric dispersion was simulated for specific sites. This simulation approach, in addition to its capability to identify site-specific risks of CO₂ concentration near the surface, provides an instructive visualization tool for regulators and the public.
- The impact of CO₂ injection on subsurface ecology showed that, depending on lithology and water chemistry, some classes of organisms will be favored at the expense of others. Whereas local extinction of useful organisms may not be an issue, possible operational parameters may be affected via microbial gas generation and porosity and permeability changes.
- Pre-injection assessment of potential leakage pathways and their impact on economic and HSE interests comprise the basis for early leakage detection, intervention and remediation planning.

The credibility of the “holistic”, risk-based approach to CO₂ storage encompassing the SMV integrity, optimization, monitoring and risk assessment studies is a key contribution to the science and technology of geological CO₂ storage. Logical steps in progressing risk assessment for CO₂ storage include standardization of FEPs, benchmarking of independently developed methodologies and quantifying and bracketing risks relative to familiar hazards. The development of technologies that prevent or allow response to leakage will facilitate project approval, safeguard economic and HSE interests and ensure verification and favorable liability release terms.

THE PATH FORWARD

Progress in advancing the technology and acceptance of geological CO₂ storage has accelerated in recent years to the point that several pilot/demonstration and a few commercial projects are underway or planned for the near future. By 2010, geologic storage is expected on the 10 million tonne/year scale. To reach the 1 billion tonne/year scale required to achieve mid-century stabilization targets, key technical issues related to storage capacity and security need to be resolved, and integrated evaluation protocols developed. Initiation of large-scale storage will be facilitated by the example of successful projects and creative approaches to source–sink matching and infrastructure development.

Key technical R&D needs include:

- integrated site evaluation protocols including accurate 3D structural/stratigraphic models and fluid flow paths/history that can be used for multi-compartment risk assessment;
- integration of experimental data and simulations to predict physicochemical rock response to perturbations from CO₂ injection and document the types and rates of CO₂ immobilization mechanisms;
- development of well technologies including resistant materials and construction/completion procedures, leakage intervention strategies and old well remediation;
- detailed leveraging of EOR and natural gas storage site characterization, operation and intervention/remediation protocols, optimization of oil production versus storage maximization;
- systematization of near and long-term monitoring and verification technology resolution with guidelines for site-specific suitability based on FEPs; validation of long-term CO₂ immobilization models and development of criteria for safe facility abandonment and liability release;
- benchmarking of CO₂ storage methodologies and quantification of storage risk relative to familiar hazards and those associated with climate change;
- economic tradeoffs, process integration and infrastructure considerations for CO₂ capture, transportation and storage.

There is good reason to be optimistic that geological CO₂ storage can substantially reduce atmospheric emissions in the next 10–50 years. Compared to geological storage, ocean storage presents serious environmental risks, mineral storage is slow and terrestrial storage is inefficient and probably temporary. Given the present and anticipated scale of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, however, a portfolio approach to carbon mitigation that also includes conservation, renewables and nuclear energy will be required. The evolution of a hydrogen economy, the ultimate approach to carbon mitigation, will nevertheless require fossil fuel use and subsequent CO₂ storage. To make large-scale geologic CO₂ storage a reality, technical developments such as those outlined above need to be applied to moderate regulations and ensure public acceptance. Collaboration of industry, governments, academic institutions and environmental NGOs has begun in earnest and should continue to expand.